

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,920	08/24/2001	J. Michael Milliorn	P02167US0 3498		
26271 7	590 03/10/2003				
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP 1301 MCKINNEY SUITE 5100			EXAMINER AHMAD, NASSER		
	1772				
	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

44.5

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/938,920

Applicant(s)

Milliorn et al.

Examiner

Nasser Ahmad

Art Unit 1772



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	eet with t	he correspondence address			
Period 1	for Reply						
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however, i	may a reply be	timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th						
	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th						
•	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, e	even if timely	filed, may reduce any			
Status							
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jan 23, 20	003		·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action	ion is non-fina	l.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>22-38</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
2	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>22-38</u>			is/are rejected.			
7) 🗌	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ition Papers						
- 9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	ed or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be he	eld in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	:: a) 🗌 ap	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office a	ction.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 3	5 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			•			
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	re been receive	ed.				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receive	ed in Appl	ication No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure 	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).				
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the						
14)∐	•						
' a) ∟	5 5 5 .						
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under	35 U.S.C	33 IZU and/or IZI.			
Attachm		4) Intensions S	umman, /PTA	.413) Paper No(s)			
	stice of References Cited (PTO-892) stice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	·				
_	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
' A ' '''		-, 3					

Application/Control Number: 09/938,920

Art Unit: 1772

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group II (claims 22-38) in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public
 use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United
 States.
- 4. Claims 22-23, 25-28 and 32-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sisson (5,582,433).

Sisson relates to an adhesive label having a non-adhesive portion comprising a web of label substrate, an adhesive layer and a liner. Each set of the label assembly comprises adhesive coated on only a part of the label rear surface and a minor portion being non-adhesive (col. 2, lines 10-13 and 53-55) thereby forming grip tabs and parallel alignment of adhesive and non-adhesive portions. Each label can have prints thereon (col. 3, lines 1-7). As shown in the drawings, each label is of square or rectangular configuration with a protruding tab. The assembly is in a roll form. The face material can be paper, the adhesive can be removable and the liner is silicone-coated paper. The labels may also be color coded.

The process of making the product has not been given patentable weight because the process in not germane to the issue of patentability of the product itself.

Claims 36-38 are directed to indicia "used in" and have not been given patentable weight as said phrase is directed to an intended use of the product. Intended use phrase are not deemed to be positive limitation.

Art Unit: 1772

Other intended use phrases have not been given patentable weight for reasons discussed above.

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 22-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sisson.

Sisson, as discussed above, fails to teach that the labels are circular in shape. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify Sisson to have circular-shaped labels, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component for providing aesthetic appeal. A change in shape in generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976).

As for having eight, four or three labels placed horizontally across the width, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art based on mere duplication of parts. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 703-308-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 am to 5 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Friday.

Application/Control Number: 09/938,920

Art Unit: 1772

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINER

N. Ahmad/mn March 4, 2003